



S&H Form: (02/05)

**REPLY/AMENDMENT
FEE TRANSMITTAL**

REPLY/AMENDMENT FEE TRANSMITTAL	Attorney Docket No.	1349.1280	
	Application Number	10/649,933	
	Filing Date	August 28, 2003	
	First Named Inventor	Seo-hyun CHO et al.	
	Group Art Unit	2861	
AMOUNT ENCLOSED	250.00	Examiner Name	Anh T. N. VO

FEE CALCULATION (fees effective 12/08/04)

CLAIMS AS AMENDED	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number Previously Paid For	Number Extra	Rate	Calculations
TOTAL CLAIMS	38	- 37 =	1	X \$ 50.00 =	\$ 50.00
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	4	- 3 =	1	X \$ 200.00 =	200.00

Since an Official Action set an original due date of November 17, 2006, petition is hereby made for an extension to cover the date this reply is filed for which the requisite fee is enclosed (1 month (\$120)); (2 months (\$450)); (3 months (\$1,020)); (4 months (\$1,590)); (5 months (\$2,160):

If Notice of Appeal is enclosed, add (\$500.00)

If Statutory Disclaimer under Rule 20(d) is enclosed, add fee (\$130.00)

Information Disclosure Statement (Rule 1.17(p)) (\$180.00)

Total of above Calculations =

\$ 250.00

Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity (37 CFR 1.9, 1.27 & 1.28)

TOTAL FEES DUE =

\$ 250.00

(1) If entry (1) is less than entry (2), entry (3) is "0".

(2) If entry (2) is less than 20, change entry (2) to "20".

(4) If entry (4) is less than entry (5), entry (6) is "0".

(5) If entry (5) is less than 3, change entry (5) to "3".

METHOD OF PAYMENT

- ☒ Check enclosed as payment.
- ☐ Charge "TOTAL FEES DUE" to the Deposit Account No. below.
- ☐ No payment is enclosed.

GENERAL AUTHORIZATION

- ☒ If the above-noted "AMOUNT ENCLOSED" is not correct, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any additional fees necessary to:

Deposit Account No.

19-3935

Deposit Account Name

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

- ☒ The Commissioner is also authorized to credit any overpayments or charge any additional fees required under 37 CFR 1.16 (filing fees) or 37 CFR 1.17 (processing fees) during the prosecution of this application, including any related application(s) claiming benefit hereof pursuant to 35 USC § 120 (e.g., continuations/divisionals/CIPs under 37 CFR 1.53(b) and/or continuations/divisionals/CPAs under 37 CFR 1.53(d)) to maintain pendency hereof or of any such related application.

SUBMITTED BY: STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Typed Name	Michael J. Badagliacca	Reg. No.	39,099
Signature		Date	10-2-06

©2005 Staas & Halsey LLP



**RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
EXAMINING GROUP 2861
Docket No.: 1349.1280**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Seo-hyun CHO et al.

Serial No. 10/649,933

Group Art Unit: 2861

Confirmation No. 6101

Filed: August 28, 2003

Examiner: Anh T. N. VO

For: INK CARTRIDGE

AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL REJECTION

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attention: **BOX AF**

Sir:

This is in response to the Final Office Action mailed August 17, 2006, and having a period for response set to expire on November 17, 2006.

The following amendments and remarks are respectfully submitted. Reconsideration of the claims is respectfully requested.

CONFIDENTIAL - SECURITY INFORMATION

[illegible]

Abstract—The purpose of this study was to determine if there were differences in the prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders between two groups of nurses working in different departments of a hospital. The subjects were 100 nurses who worked in the intensive care unit (ICU) and 100 nurses who worked in the medical-surgical department. A questionnaire was distributed to each nurse asking about their demographic characteristics, work conditions, and symptoms of musculoskeletal disorders. The results showed that the prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders was higher among ICU nurses than among medical-surgical nurses. The most common disorder was low back pain, followed by neck pain and shoulder pain. The results suggest that there may be factors specific to the ICU environment that contribute to a higher prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders.